What would we measure for post-op outcome?

Prof. Paul Myles

The Alfred Hospital & Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Measuring clinically relevant and patient-centered perioperative outcomes provides the knowledge that enables: clinicians to optimize their practice and guide shared decision-making, researchers to set a future agenda and policy makers to prioritize healthcare spending.

Efforts are underway to standardize and define which outcome measures should be used in perioperative medicine research and audit.¹ Patient-centered outcome measures describe what the patient actually experiences and the impact an intervention has on their functional capacity, physical comfort and emotional health. This includes *quality of recovery* and longer-term health after surgery.²

The QoR Score was the first validated quality of recovery scale.³ This was followed by the 40-item QoR-40⁴ and the 15-item QoR-15.⁵ The QoR-40 has five recovery domains including emotional state, physical comfort, psychological support, physical independence and pain control, and is scored on a scale from 40 (very poor recovery) to 200 (excellent recovery). Both the QoR-40 and QoR-15 have recently been evaluated to determine the *minimal clinically important difference*.⁶

Another health economic measure gaining increasing recognition in medical research is *days alive and at home within 30 days of surgery* (DAH₃₀). As such, DAH₃₀ combines mortality and morbidity into a single continuous outcome that is objective, easy to define and measure, and does not require adjudication. Most importantly DAH₃₀ reflects the primary patient goal of returning home and avoiding hospital readmission, which would make it an ideal perioperative outcome measure.

Disability-free survival is a novel perioperative outcome measure that is a combination of survival (1 – mortality) and freedom from clinically significant disability as indicated by the WHO Disability Assessment Schedule (WHODAS) score.⁸ In a cohort of 510 surgical patients we used the WHODAS to identify rates of DFS as 72% and 58% in ASA III and IV patients respectively. Disability-free survival is an ideal outcome metric for research and clinical audit, but also for shared decision-making.

Future clinical trials in Perioperative Medicine should utilize clearly defined, validated and standardised patient-centered outcome measures.

References

- 1. Myles PS, Grocott MP, Boney O, Moonesinghe SR. Standardizing end points in perioperative trials: towards a core and extended outcome set. Br J Anaesth. 2016;116(5):586-589.
- 2. Gornall BF, Myles PS, Smith CL, et al. Measurement of quality of recovery using the QoR-40: a quantitative systematic review. Br J Anaesth. 2013;111(2):161-169.
- 3. Myles PS, Hunt JO, Nightingale CE, et al. Development and psychometric testing of a quality of recovery score after general anesthesia and surgery in adults. Anesth Analg. 1999;88(1):83-90.
- 4. Myles PS, Weitkamp B, Jones K, Melick J, Hensen S. Validity and reliability of a postoperative quality of recovery score: the QoR-40. Br J Anaesth. 2000;84(1):11-15.
- 5. Stark PA, Myles PS, Burke JA. Development and psychometric evaluation of a postoperative quality of recovery score: the QoR-15. Anesthesiology. 2013;118(6):1332-1340.
- 6. Myles PS, Myles DB, Galagher W, Chew C, MacDonald N, Dennis A. Minimal Clinically Important Difference for Three Quality of Recovery Scales. Anesthesiology. 2016(125):39-45.
- 7. Myles PS, Shulman M, Heritier S, McIlroy D, Forbes A, al. e. Validation of days at home as an outcome measure after surgery: a prospective cohort study in Australia. BMJ Open. 2017;doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-015828.
- 8. Shulman MA, Myles PS, Chan MT, McIlroy DR, Wallace S, Ponsford J. Measurement of disability-free survival after surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2015;122(3):524-536.